

European guideline for the management of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections

Revision date: July 2010
Proposed date for review: July 2013

Authors: E. Lanjouw¹
J.M. Ossewaarde^{2,3}
A. Stary⁴
F. Boag⁵
Editor: W.I. van der Meijden^{6,7}

- 1 Department of Dermatology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- 2 Laboratory for Medical Microbiology, Maastad Ziekenhuis, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- 3 Department of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- 4 Outpatients' Centre for Infectious Venereodermatological Diseases, Vienna, Austria
- 5 Chelsea Westminster hospital, London, UK
- 6 Department of Dermatology, Havenziekenhuis, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- 7 Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Address for correspondence:

E. Lanjouw MD
Department of Dermatology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
Tel: +311034580 Fax: +31107033822
E-mail: e.lanjouw@erasmusmc.nl

Summary of recommendations

Table 1. List of recommendations

Grade	Recommendation
A	Only NAATs detecting all known genotypes and variants should be employed for the diagnosis of <i>C. trachomatis</i> infections.
B	Laboratories should participate in (expert) networks for timely communication about genetic variants, less common serovars, and uncommon clinical presentations.
A	For males first-void urine and for females a (self-collected) vaginal swab are the recommended specimens for <i>C. trachomatis</i> testing.
B	<i>C. trachomatis</i> positive rectal specimens from MSM should be further tested for LGV.
B	Testing of semen specimens is not recommended.
B	Pooling of urine specimens is not recommended.
B	Confirmatory testing of <i>C. trachomatis</i> -positive samples is not recommended.
A	Antibody testing to <i>C. trachomatis</i> is only recommended for the diagnosis of invasive disease, such as LGV and neonatal pneumonia, when NAAT is not possible or not reliable.
A	Laboratories should participate in quality assurance programs, either by their own choice or by national requirements.
A	First choice treatment of uncomplicated urogenital chlamydial infections is a single dose of 1 g azithromycin.
B	Alternative treatments are a course of doxycycline, 100 mg two times daily for 7 days, or josamycin, 500-1000 mg two times daily for 7 days, or another macrolide.
C	When infection with <i>M. genitalium</i> is confirmed or suspected, patients should be treated with a short course of azithromycin: 500 mg on day 1, followed by 250 mg on days 2-5.
A	First choice treatment in pregnancy is a single dose of 1 g azithromycin. Alternative treatment is a course of amoxicillin, 500 mg four times daily for 7 days. Erythromycin is not recommended.
B	In high prevalence populations pregnant women should be screened for <i>C. trachomatis</i> infection and, if positive, receive appropriate treatment.
B	First choice treatment of rectal non-LGV chlamydial infections is a course of doxycycline, 100 mg two times daily for 7 days.
B	First choice treatment of rectal LGV infection is a course of doxycycline, 100 mg two times daily for 21 days.
A	Patients tested positive for <i>C. trachomatis</i> should be offered screening for at least hepatitis B, gonorrhoea, syphilis and HIV.

Aetiology and transmission

C. trachomatis is an obligate intracellular bacterium that infects over 90 million people each year by sexual transmission. It is the most common bacterial sexually transmitted infection worldwide, especially infecting young adults. *C. trachomatis* belongs to the genus *Chlamydia* together with *Chlamydia muridarum* and *Chlamydia suis*. Other chlamydiae infecting human beings, *Chlamydophila pneumoniae* and *Chlamydophila psittaci*, have been classified in a separate genus.¹ Three biovars comprising all 15 classical serovars and several additional serovars and genotypes are recognized within *C. trachomatis*: the trachoma biovar (serovars A-C), the urogenital biovar (serovars D-K), and the lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV) biovar (serovars L1-L3). This guideline only covers urogenital infections caused by the urogenital and the LGV biovar of *C. trachomatis*.

Usually transmission takes place by direct mucosal contact between two individuals during sexual contact or at birth. Occasionally, other ways of transmission (fomites, enemas, sex toys) may play a role, as has been suggested in the LGV proctitis epidemic. The rate of transmission between sex partners may be as high as 75%.² Thus, partner notification and subsequent treatment are very important.

Clinical features

Urogenital infections in women

- Up to 90% asymptomatic
- Cervicitis
- Urethritis
- Post-coital bleeding
- Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID)
- Proctitis

Symptoms and signs in women^{3,4}

- Vaginal discharge
- Contact bleeding
- Poorly differentiated abdominal pain or lower abdominal pain
- Mucopurulent cervical discharge
- Cervical friability
- Cervical oedema
- Endocervical ulcers
- Mid cycle spotting
- Dysuria

Urogenital infections in men

- More than 50% asymptomatic
- Non-gonococcal urethritis
- Epididymitis
- Proctitis

Symptoms and signs in men^{5,6}

- Urethral discharge
- Burning with micturition
- ‘Penile tip irritation’
- Watery, viscous excretion (‘morning milker’)
- Proctitis

Neonatal infections

Infants born to mothers through an infected birth canal may become colonized and may develop conjunctivitis and/or pneumonia.⁷

Complications and sequelae in women⁸⁻¹⁰

- PID
- Endometritis
- Salpingitis
- Ectopic pregnancy
- Tubal factor infertility
- SARA (sexually acquired reactive arthritis)

Approximately 10 percent of women with *C. trachomatis* infection will develop PID if left untreated. While PID caused by *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infection may be accompanied by more acute symptoms, PID caused by *C. trachomatis* infection is associated with a higher rate of subsequent infertility (**level III**).¹¹ Early and appropriate therapy has the potential of significantly reducing the long-term complications of PID.¹² Other complications of *C. trachomatis* infection consist of sexually acquired reactive arthritis (SARA) or perihepatitis (Fitz-Hugh-Curtis syndrome), chronic pelvic pain (women), anorectal discharge, and adult conjunctivitis.

Complications in men

C. trachomatis has also been associated with male infertility (**level III**)¹³⁻¹⁵ and epididymitis (**level III**).¹⁶⁻¹⁹

Lymphogranuloma venereum

- Caused by the L1-L3 serovars of *C. trachomatis*
- Rarely reported in developed countries before 2004
- From 2003 outbreaks were reported in The Netherlands and other developed countries in men who have sex with men (MSM).²⁰⁻²²
- The main site of infection: the proctum
- Symptoms:
 - Tenesmus
 - Constipation
 - Anorectal pain
 - Mucopurulent discharge
 - Diarrhoea

- Abdominal pain

Proctitis was known for many years in MSM as the gay bowel syndrome. LGV was implicated as a causative agent as early as 1976.²³ Since the symptoms of LGV proctitis closely resemble those of Crohn's disease, many patients have been mistakenly treated for Crohn's disease.^{24,25} In order to manage this epidemic among MSM, the need for standardized criteria and procedures as well as guidelines became obvious.^{26,27}

Diagnosis of chlamydial infections

Diagnostic assays

- Nucleic acid amplification techniques (NAATs)
- Isolation in cell culture
- Enzyme immunoassays (EIA)
- Direct fluorescence assays (DFA)

Since many studies have shown the superiority of NAATs over other techniques, only NAATs can be recommended (**level I, grade A**).²⁸

Assessing performance of NAATs

In evaluating the performance of highly sensitive NAATs a perfect gold-standard has not been defined and discrepant analysis has been used to reassess the supposedly false-positive results of the NAATs. Discrepant analysis might introduce a bias towards a higher sensitivity than can be accounted for.²⁹ Since many studies have been reported, including studies using highly sensitive NAATs only, it is not likely that this bias will lead to ill advised guidelines (**level I**).³⁰

Sampling error, biological variation, local differences, and prevalence of *C. trachomatis* infections in populations sampled are more important determinants of performance evaluations (**level IV**).

Choice of NAAT

Different manufacturers have developed their own amplification technology platforms. Although sensitivity and specificity do vary slightly, other factors like cost, hands on time, combined testing for other agents, degree of automation etc play an important role in choosing a specific NAAT.³¹ The latest versions of the NAATs of major manufacturers are all adequate (**level II**).³²

Diagnostic challenges

- Emergence of LGV among MSM
- Emergence of the Swedish *C. trachomatis* variant

Detecting LGV

LGV proctitis has always been described in textbooks, but due to a very low prevalence is not always considered in the differential diagnosis of proctitis. All NAATS will detect LGV as *C.*

trachomatis-positive, but without designating the result as LGV positive. For this purpose, genotyping is necessary (**level II, grade B**).

Detecting variants

Possible variants:

- Plasmid free strains
- Plasmid mutant strains

Most commercially available NAATs only detect one target, either the cryptic plasmid, the major outer membrane protein gene (MOMP), or rRNA. Thus, NAATs are prone to erroneous results in case of genetic alterations. The plasmid occurs in an average copy number of 4.0 plasmids per chromosome³³ and is highly conserved.³⁴ Therefore, the plasmid is an attractive target for NAATs. However, NAATs based only on plasmid sequences will not detect plasmid free *C. trachomatis* variants. It is not clear if this constitutes a real problem, since only a few reports exist on the occurrence of plasmid free strains. Although all genes located on the plasmid are transcribed during infection³⁵, three groups reported the isolation of a strain lacking the plasmid.³⁶⁻³⁸ Matsumoto *et al.* indeed showed that plasmid free strains can be isolated from clinical specimens using special cloning techniques and that these strains may survive.³⁹ Thus, the plasmid is not essential for survival. One group studied a series of 40 specimens from high risk patients with various nucleic acid assays and concluded that 9 specimens contained no plasmid sequences.⁴⁰ Further analysis comparing these specimens with *C. trachomatis* type strains showed they were genetically similar.⁴¹ However, confirmation of these results has not been reported (**level III**).

An unexpected 25% decrease in the prevalence of *C. trachomatis* infections triggered Ripa and Nilsson to study the cause. They reported a new variant of *C. trachomatis* with a 377 base pair deletion in the plasmid, exactly at the target sequence of several commercial NAATs.^{42,43} Later it became clear that laboratories relying on these NAATs missed between 20% and 65% of *C. trachomatis* infections.⁴⁴ A real-time PCR assay for detection of the Swedish variant has been developed⁴⁵ and subsequent analysis showed that this strain has to date only rarely been encountered outside of the Scandinavian countries. Laboratories need to choose a NAAT capable of detecting the Swedish variant (**level I, grade A**).

It is recommended that laboratories participate in quality assurance programs, including monitoring systems, to detect genetic variants and uncommon clinical presentations (**level II, grade B**).

Expert networks

Both the experience with LGV and with the Swedish variant show the added value of expert networks like the European Surveillance of Sexually Transmitted Infections (ESSTI), for quickly assessing new findings and for notifying professionals in Europe and the rest of the world.^{21,46} It is recommended that laboratories participate in (expert) networks for timely communication about genetic variants and uncommon clinical presentations (**level II, grade B**).

Choice of specimen

Until recently different types of specimens were recommended for screening programs and clinical settings. This is no longer the case.

Type of specimen of first choice

- Men: first-void urine
- Women: (self-collected) vaginal swab

The sensitivity of testing male first-void urine is 85-95%.^{30,47} The concordance of different NAATs is highest for symptomatic men. Also, the acceptability by men of first-void urine specimens is generally good.⁴⁸ First-void urine should be used to diagnose chlamydial infections in men (**level I, grade A**).

For females, the sensitivity of testing first-void urine is slightly lower than that for males: 80-90%.³⁰ Self-collected vaginal swabs provide an acceptable alternative.⁴⁹⁻⁵⁶ Also, self-collected vaginal swabs are well accepted by women.⁵⁷ The difference in sensitivities between tests on specimens from various sites is likely to be the result of the difference in bacterial load in these specimens.⁵⁸ Self-collected vaginal swabs should be used to diagnose chlamydial infections in women (**level I, grade A**).

Pap-smears provide an attractive type of specimen for epidemiological purposes using already available specimens. Although several procedures have been described to optimize performance of detection of *C. trachomatis* in Pap-smears⁵⁹, they cannot be recommended for specific screening programmes, nor for diagnostic purposes (**level II**).

Other types of specimen

Pharyngeal and conjunctival specimens

Due to the low bacterial load NAATs are the test of choice for adult and infant pharyngeal specimens if indicated.⁶⁰ Although the bacterial load in neonatal conjunctivitis is probably higher, NAATs still show a higher sensitivity compared to non-amplification assays. NAATs have now been adequately validated for these specimens (**level II**).⁶¹⁻⁶⁴

Rectal specimens

Isolation in cell culture and enzyme immunoassays are not suited for rectal specimens, due to toxicity of the specimens and extensive cross-reactions, respectively. The specificity of current commercial NAATs seems adequate, although laboratories employing these assays should recognize that specificity is less than 95% and confirmation by another assay might be appropriate (**level II**).⁶³⁻⁶⁵ In MSM, positive rectal specimens should be genotyped for LGV (**level II, grade B**).⁶⁶

Semen specimens

Up to 10% of semen specimens might contain inhibitors for NAATs. However, a good correlation exists between first-void urine positivity and semen positivity.⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹ Therefore, testing of semen specimens is not recommended (**level II, grade B**).

Pooling of urine specimens

To reduce the workload and/or cost, laboratories might want to pool urine specimens. Depending on the prevalence calculations can be made on cost and benefits. However, female urine might contain inhibitors^{70,71}, that could cause false-negative results in other specimens from the pool. In addition, most NAATs are neither FDA cleared nor CE marked for using pooled specimens. Therefore, in the era of automated high-throughput equipment and considering the need for unambiguous identification and tracking of specimens, as well as the need for reduction of human errors, pooling of urine cannot be recommended (**level II, grade B**).⁷²

Sampling error

First portions of urine have a higher bacterial load than second and third portions. Thus, first-void urine should be used.⁷³ Voiding interval seems not to effect diagnostic performance.⁷⁴ Early morning urine seems not to be more sensitive than urine at the time of visit.⁷⁵ Thus, male urines can be collected at the time of the visit (**level II**).

Hormonal levels

Hormonal levels have been suggested to influence *C. trachomatis* detection by NAATs. Factors involved are:

- Bacterial load (increase or decrease)
- Presence of inhibitors (increase or decrease)

Bacterial load seems to increase with time after the last menstrual bleeding, while the presence of inhibitors in urine seems to be maximal three weeks after the last menstrual bleeding.^{70,76} Thus, the optimal period for taking vaginal swabs seems to be four weeks after the last menstrual bleeding (**level III**).

Inhibition

In some studies differences between NAATs have been observed⁷⁷, but this has not been confirmed in other studies. Urine from pregnant women might contain inhibitors, as well as urine taken in the third week after menstrual bleeding.^{70,71} It is likely that hormones play a role in this inhibition. Various solutions (e.g. freezing, boiling or diluting the specimens) have been suggested to deal with inhibition, but none of these is generally applicable nor generally accepted.

Another concern (competitive inhibition) is raised by the use of duplex or multiplex assays detecting more than one target. If one of the targets is present in excess, other targets may be reported false-negative.^{78,79} In these cases, the use of monoplex assays is needed to achieve the desired sensitivity (**level II**).

Confirmatory testing

Several strategies have been evaluated for confirmatory testing. One could use the same specimen, a second specimen taken at the same time, or a new specimen. Also, one could repeat the original test or one could use a different test.

Using a second platform for confirmatory testing can only be implemented when the second platform is at least as sensitive as the initial platform.⁸⁰ After all, using a less sensitive test would reduce the overall sensitivity to the level of the least sensitive test.

For specimens with a high bacterial load all types of confirmatory testing will be positive and, therefore, confirmatory testing is unnecessary and expensive. For specimens with a low bacterial load as can be expected in low prevalence populations or in screening programs of asymptomatic individuals, confirmatory testing will confirm 80-90% depending on the initial test and the confirmatory procedure. More rigorous testing shows that the assumption that non-confirmed specimens are negative is wrong. Thus, confirmatory testing of specimens with a low bacterial load does not solve the issue of true positivity and is therefore not recommended (**level II, grade B**).⁸¹ Proficiency testing and laboratory accreditation seem more appropriate ways to assure a high quality of laboratory results (**level II**).

Serology

In general, only invasive disease will lead to antibody levels useful for diagnostic purposes.

Chlamydial serology

- Only synthetic peptide-based EIAs show no cross-reactions
- Duration of antibody-positivity is not known.
- No value in the diagnosis of uncomplicated cervicitis and urethritis.⁸²
- Limited value in the diagnosis of ascending infections.⁸³⁻⁸⁵
- Limited value for infertility workup.⁸⁶
- LGV: high titres (IgG and/or IgA) can be diagnostic.^{20,25,87,88}
- Neonatal pneumonia: IgM can be diagnostic.⁷

Especially when direct detection by NAAT is not possible or not reliable, antibody testing to *C. trachomatis* may be helpful in the diagnosis of invasive disease, such as LGV involving the lymph nodes and neonatal pneumonia (**level I, grade A**).

Quality assurance

As mentioned in the paragraph on confirmatory testing, quality assurance is important to guarantee correct test results of high quality. For blood products, a working group was convened dealing with NAAT validation and standardisation, reference standards, proficiency testing, and external assessment of laboratory performance to assure quality of testing and safety of products across all laboratories.⁸⁹ In general for NAATs procedures have been developed to assure quality.^{90,91} Diagnostic procedures for *C. trachomatis* are not different from other diagnostic procedures. Performance problems can be detected, that would remain undetected following manufacturer's instructions only.⁹² Laboratories should participate in quality assurance programs, either by their own choice or by national requirements (**level I, grade A**).

Therapy

Uncomplicated urogenital *C. trachomatis* infections

Although the natural course of infection has not been studied in great detail, it is assumed that many infections will clear spontaneously over time.⁹³ Some infections may proceed into a chronic persistent state.⁹⁴ Since sequelae might be severe, treatment is recommended. Resistance, although infrequently reported to date, may occur in *C. trachomatis* and is associated with treatment failure.^{95,96} The incidence of resistance is unknown, but estimated very low. Thus, therapy is initiated empirically. A recent meta-analysis revealed that a single dose of azithromycin and a 7-day course of doxycycline are equally effective (**level I, grade A**).⁹⁷ Treatment compliance is of major concern and has been shown to be substantially higher in case of a single dose of azithromycin, in both patients⁹⁸ and their partners^{99,100} (**level I**). Alternatively, josamycin has been used with success in some countries (**level II, grade B**).¹⁰¹

First choice treatment of uncomplicated urogenital infections consists of one of the following (**level I, grade A**):

- Single dose of 1 g azithromycin
- Course of doxycycline, 100 mg two times daily for 7 days

Alternative treatment (**level II, grade B**):

- Course of josamycin, 500-1000 mg two times daily for 7 days
- Course of another macrolide in an appropriate dosage

Please note that this recommendation is only valid in case of an infection with *C. trachomatis* as a single agent. In case of concurrent STIs, see below.

Therapy in pregnancy

C. trachomatis infections also occur during pregnancy. Infection is associated with premature labour, preterm birth, and neonatal conjunctivitis and pneumonitis.^{102,103} The choice of drugs for treatment is important because of their possible adverse effects on foetal development and pregnancy outcome. Recently, a meta-analysis comprising 587 pregnant women reported equivalent efficacy of azithromycin, erythromycin, and amoxicillin. Side-effects were however, significantly less in the azithromycin group than in the erythromycin group. There were no differences in pregnancy outcome.¹⁰⁴ In some studies, erythromycin is less efficacious than azithromycin and amoxicillin.¹⁰⁵ The positive effect of treatment on pregnancy outcome even suggests screening and treatment of all pregnant women.¹⁰⁶ In countries where the drug is available, josamycin seems safe and efficacious and might also be considered.^{107,108} First choice treatment in pregnancy is a single dose of 1 g azithromycin. Alternative treatment is a course of amoxicillin, 500 mg four times daily for 7 days. Erythromycin is not recommended (**level I, grade A**). In high prevalence populations (e.g. > 5%) pregnant women should be screened for *C. trachomatis* infection and, if positive, receive appropriate treatment (**level II, grade B**).

Rectal infection with LGV and non-LGV *C. trachomatis*

In some reports a higher failure rate of the standard single dose of azithromycin has been described in rectal chlamydial infections. The reason for this observation is not clear.¹⁰⁹

Usually a distinction between rectal non-LGV chlamydial infections and rectal LGV chlamydial infections is not made. Recently, evidence for treatment recommendations has been examined^{110,111} and a new guideline for rectal LGV infection has been published.²⁷

Doxycycline (100 mg two times daily for 21 days) remains the treatment of choice (**level III, grade B**).

First choice for treatment of rectal non-LGV chlamydial infections is a course of doxycycline, 100 mg two times daily for 7 days (**level III, grade B**).¹¹¹

Therapy failure

Limited data exist on alternative therapy in case of therapy failure. A repeated course or a longer course (10-14 days) with doxycycline or a macrolide has been suggested, but evidence is lacking (**level IV**). Resistance has been shown rarely^{95,96}, but therapy failure might also be caused by persistence of chlamydial strains. Probably, the most common reason for therapy failure is re-infection from an untreated partner (**level II**).¹¹² An interesting suggestion is the combined use of rifampicin and a macrolide.¹¹³⁻¹¹⁶ Further studies are needed.

Concurrent STIs

Men and women having a diagnosis of *C. trachomatis* infection should be offered a complete workup for other STIs. *C. trachomatis* infection is a risk factor for the acquisition or transmission of HIV and other STIs. Patients should be offered screening for at least hepatitis B, gonorrhoea, syphilis, and HIV (**level I, grade A**).^{117,118} *Mycoplasma genitalium* is a sexually transmitted pathogen causing clinical disease similar to *C. trachomatis*, including PID.^{119,120} An association with long-term sequelae has not been established yet. If facilities are available, patients may be offered screening for *M. genitalium* as well. This is particularly important in patients with persistent or recurrent disease (**level II**).¹²⁰ Recently, data were presented indicating that a single dose of 1 g azithromycin may lead to macrolide resistance in *M. genitalium*.^{121,122} When infection with *M. genitalium* is confirmed or suspected, patients should not be treated with a single dose of 1 g azithromycin, but with a short course of azithromycin: 500 mg on day 1 followed by 250 mg on days 2-5 (**level III, grade C**).¹²³

Complications

PID remains one of the most important sequelae of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), resulting in severe morbidity and acting as the economic justification for STI screening programmes. Early and appropriate therapy has the potential to significantly reduce the long-term complications of PID, and evidence-based guidelines provide advice on the management of pelvic infection including the use of appropriate antimicrobial regimens.¹²

Several pathogens that may play a role in the aetiology of PID should be covered by empiric therapy: *N. gonorrhoeae*, *C. trachomatis*, *M. genitalium*, and anaerobes.^{12,124}

Partner notification

There is a wide difference in practicing partner notification between countries.¹²⁵ Besides scientific aspects, legal and privacy aspects are important. They differ from country to country. Also, no data are available to recommend a look-back period. Human studies on the duration of genital *C. trachomatis* infections have shown that chlamydia clearance increases over time, with approximately half of infections spontaneously resolving one year after initial chlamydia testing.¹²⁶ However, practical restrictions will usually limit a look-back period to approximately two months. Overall, 50-80% of partners may be reached. The higher rates were associated with various enhancements to basic referral instructions, especially if patients were offered additional counselling or medications for their partners.^{127,128} Expedited partner therapy or patient-delivered partner therapy might be an efficient way to treat partners¹²⁹, but is not always permitted by law.¹³⁰ Major concerns are the unsupervised administration of prescription drugs, lack of monitoring of therapeutic effect, side-effects, and allergies, the lack of opportunity to test for *C. trachomatis* or other STIs, as well as the lack of onwards partner notification, and safe sex education. In the UK, one-third of the professionals is strongly opposed.^{131,132} It is, however, well accepted by patients and partners.^{132,133} Given the wide differences between countries, no definitive recommendation can be given.

Follow-up

NAATS cannot discriminate between live and dead microorganisms. Up until 4-6 weeks after therapy a test result may still be positive, based on remnants of microorganisms that have not been cleared by the host. Therefore, a test of cure is not recommended. Since a previous *C. trachomatis* infection is a risk factor for future STIs, a control visit after 3 months can be considered (**level II**).^{72,117}

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the members of the IUSTI / WHO European STI Guidelines Editorial Board for their valuable comments.

IUSTI / WHO European STI Guidelines Editorial Board:

Keith Radcliffe (Editor-in-Chief), Karen Babayan, Simon Barton, Michel Janier, Jorgen Skov Jensen, Lali Khotenashvili, Marita van de Laar, Willem van der Meijden, Harald Moi, Martino Neumann, Raj Patel, Angela Robinson, Jonathan Ross, Jackie Sherrard, Magnus Unemo.

References

- (1) Everett KD, Bush RM, Andersen AA. Emended description of the order *Chlamydiales*, proposal of *Parachlamydiaceae* fam. nov. and *Simkaniaceae* fam. nov., each containing one monotypic genus, revised taxonomy of the family *Chlamydiaceae*, including a new genus and five new species, and standards for the identification of organisms. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1999;**49 Pt 2**:415-40.
- (2) Markos AR. The concordance of *Chlamydia trachomatis* genital infection between sexual partners, in the era of nucleic acid testing. *Sex Health* 2005;**2**:23-4.
- (3) Johnson BA, Poses RM, Fortner CA, Meier FA, Dalton HP. Derivation and validation of a clinical diagnostic model for chlamydial cervical infection in university women. *JAMA* 1990;**264**:3161-5.
- (4) McCormack WM, Rosner B, McComb DE, Evrard JR, Zinner SH. Infection with *Chlamydia trachomatis* in female college students. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;**121**:107-15.
- (5) McNagny SE, Parker RM, Zenilman JM, Lewis JS. Urinary leukocyte esterase test: a screening method for the detection of asymptomatic chlamydial and gonococcal infections in men. *J Infect Dis* 1992;**165**:573-6.
- (6) Kent CK, Chaw JK, Wong W, Liska S, Gibson S, Hubbard G, et al. Prevalence of rectal, urethral, and pharyngeal chlamydia and gonorrhea detected in 2 clinical settings among men who have sex with men: San Francisco, California, 2003. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;**41**:67-74.
- (7) Darville T. *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections in neonates and young children. *Semin Pediatr Infect Dis* 2005;**16**:235-44.
- (8) Cates W, Jr., Wasserheit JN. Genital chlamydial infections: epidemiology and reproductive sequelae. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1991;**164**:1771-81.
- (9) Hillis SD, Wasserheit JN. Screening for chlamydia--a key to the prevention of pelvic inflammatory disease. *N Engl J Med* 1996;**334**:1399-401.
- (10) Hillis SD, Owens LM, Marchbanks PA, Amsterdam LF, Mac Kenzie WR. Recurrent chlamydial infections increase the risks of hospitalization for ectopic pregnancy and pelvic inflammatory disease. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1997;**176**:103-7.
- (11) World Health Organization Task Force on the Prevention and Management of Infertility. Tubal infertility: serologic relationship to past chlamydial and gonococcal infection. World Health Organization Task Force on the Prevention and Management of Infertility. *Sex Transm Dis* 1995;**22**:71-7.
- (12) Ross J, Judlin P, Nilas L. European guideline for the management of pelvic inflammatory disease. *Int J STD AIDS* 2007;**18**:662-6.
- (13) Bezold G, Politch JA, Kiviat NB, Kuypers JM, Wolff H, Anderson DJ. Prevalence of sexually transmissible pathogens in semen from asymptomatic male infertility patients with and without leukocytospermia. *Fertil Steril* 2007;**87**:1087-97.

- (14) Greendale GA, Haas ST, Holbrook K, Walsh B, Schachter J, Phillips RS. The relationship of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection and male infertility. *Am J Public Health* 1993;**83**:996-1001.
- (15) Joki-Korpela P, Sahrakorpi N, Halttunen M, Surcel HM, Paavonen J, Tiitinen A. The role of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in male infertility. *Fertil Steril* 2009;**91**:1448-50.
- (16) Pearson RC, Baumber CD, McGhie D, Thambar IV. The relevance of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in acute epididymitis in young men. *Br J Urol* 1988;**62**:72-5.
- (17) Kaneti J, Sarov B, Sarov I. IgG and IgA antibodies specific for *Chlamydia trachomatis* in acute epididymitis. *Eur Urol* 1988;**14**:323-7.
- (18) Eley A, Oxley KM, Spencer RC, Kinghorn GR, Ben-Ahmeida ET, Potter CW. Detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* by the polymerase chain reaction in young patients with acute epididymitis. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1992;**11**:620-3.
- (19) De JZ, Pontonnier F, Plante P, Gautier JR, Ioualalen A, Archambaud M, et al. The frequency of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in acute epididymitis. *Br J Urol* 1988;**62**:76-8.
- (20) Nieuwenhuis RF, Ossewaarde JM, Gotz HM, Dees J, Thio HB, Thomeer MG, et al. Resurgence of lymphogranuloma venereum in Western Europe: an outbreak of *Chlamydia trachomatis* serovar L2 proctitis in The Netherlands among men who have sex with men. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004;**39**:996-1003.
- (21) van de Laar MJ, Fenton KA, Ison C. Update on the European lymphogranuloma venereum epidemic among men who have sex with men. *Euro Surveill* 2005;**10**:E050602.
- (22) Ward H, Martin I, Macdonald N, Alexander S, Simms I, Fenton K, et al. Lymphogranuloma venereum in the United kingdom. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;**44**:26-32.
- (23) Kazal HL, Sohn N, Carrasco JI, Robilotti JG, Delaney WE. The gay bowel syndrome: clinico-pathologic correlation in 260 cases. *Ann Clin Lab Sci* 1976;**6**:184-92.
- (24) Quinn TC, Goodell SE, Mkrtychian E, Schuffler MD, Wang SP, Stamm WE, et al. *Chlamydia trachomatis* proctitis. *N Engl J Med* 1981;**305**:195-200.
- (25) Forrester B, Pawade J, Horner P. The potential role of serology in diagnosing chronic lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV): a case of LGV mimicking Crohn's disease. *Sex Transm Infect* 2006;**82**:139-40.
- (26) McMillan A, Kell P, Ward H. Diagnosing chlamydia and managing proctitis in men who have sex with men: current UK practice. *Sex Transm Infect* 2008;**84**:97-100.
- (27) McMillan A, van Voorst Vader PC, de Vries HJC. The 2007 European Guideline (International Union against Sexually Transmitted Infections/World Health Organization) on the management of proctitis, proctocolitis and enteritis caused by sexually transmissible pathogens. *Int J STD AIDS* 2007;**18**:514-20.

- (28) Watson EJ, Templeton A, Russell I, Paavonen J, Mardh PA, Stary A, et al. The accuracy and efficacy of screening tests for *Chlamydia trachomatis*: a systematic review. *J Med Microbiol* 2002;**51**:1021-31.
- (29) Hadgu A, Dendukuri N, Hilden J. Evaluation of nucleic acid amplification tests in the absence of a perfect gold-standard test: a review of the statistical and epidemiologic issues. *Epidemiology* 2005;**16**:604-12.
- (30) Cook RL, Hutchison SL, Østergaard L, Braithwaite RS, Ness RB. Systematic review: noninvasive testing for *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Ann Intern Med* 2005;**142**:914-25.
- (31) Levett PN, Brandt K, Olenius K, Brown C, Montgomery K, Horsman GB. Evaluation of three automated nucleic acid amplification systems for detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in first-void urine specimens. *J Clin Microbiol* 2008;**46**:2109-11.
- (32) Morr  SA, Ouburg S, van Agtmael MA, de Vries HJ. Lymphogranuloma venereum diagnostics: from culture to real-time quadriplex polymerase chain reaction. *Sex Transm Infect* 2008;**84**:252-3.
- (33) Pickett MA, Everson JS, Pead PJ, Clarke IN. The plasmids of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Chlamydophila pneumoniae* (N16): accurate determination of copy number and the paradoxical effect of plasmid-curing agents. *Microbiology* 2005;**151**:893-903.
- (34) Comanducci M, Ricci S, Cevenini R, Ratti G. Diversity of the *Chlamydia trachomatis* common plasmid in biovars with different pathogenicity. *Plasmid* 1990;**23**:149-54.
- (35) Pearce BJ, Fahr MJ, Hatch TP, Sriprakash KS. A chlamydial plasmid is differentially transcribed during the life cycle of *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Plasmid* 1991;**26**:116-22.
- (36) Peterson EM, Markoff BA, Schachter J, de la Maza LM. The 7.5-kb plasmid present in *Chlamydia trachomatis* is not essential for the growth of this microorganism. *Plasmid* 1990;**23**:144-8.
- (37) Farencena A, Comanducci M, Donati M, Ratti G, Cevenini R. Characterization of a new isolate of *Chlamydia trachomatis* which lacks the common plasmid and has properties of biovar trachoma. *Infect Immun* 1997;**65**:2965-9.
- (38) Magbanua JP, Goh BT, Michel CE, Aguirre-Andreasen A, Alexander S, Ushiro-Lumb I, et al. *Chlamydia trachomatis* variant not detected by plasmid based nucleic acid amplification tests: molecular characterisation and failure of single dose azithromycin. *Sex Transm Infect* 2007;**83**:339-43.
- (39) Matsumoto A, Izutsu H, Miyashita N, Ohuchi M. Plaque formation by and plaque cloning of *Chlamydia trachomatis* biovar trachoma. *J Clin Microbiol* 1998;**36**:3013-9.

- (40) An Q, Radcliffe G, Vassallo R, Buxton D, O'Brien WJ, Pelletier DA, et al. Infection with a plasmid-free variant *Chlamydia* related to *Chlamydia trachomatis* identified by using multiple assays for nucleic acid detection. *J Clin Microbiol* 1992;**30**:2814-21.
- (41) An Q, Olive DM. Molecular cloning and nucleic acid sequencing of *Chlamydia trachomatis* 16S rRNA genes from patient samples lacking the cryptic plasmid. *Mol Cell Probes* 1994;**8**:429-35.
- (42) Ripa T, Nilsson P. A variant of *Chlamydia trachomatis* with deletion in cryptic plasmid: implications for use of PCR diagnostic tests. *Euro Surveill* 2006;**11**:E061109.
- (43) Ripa T, Nilsson PA. A *Chlamydia trachomatis* strain with a 377-bp deletion in the cryptic plasmid causing false-negative nucleic acid amplification tests. *Sex Transm Dis* 2007;**34**:255-6.
- (44) Herrmann B. A new genetic variant of *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Sex Transm Infect* 2007;**83**:253-4.
- (45) Catsburg A, van Dommelen L, Smelov V, de Vries HJC, Savitcheva A, Domeika M, et al. TaqMan assay for Swedish *Chlamydia trachomatis* variant. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2007;**13**:1432-4.
- (46) Savage EJ, Ison CA, van de Laar MJ. Results of a Europe-wide investigation to assess the presence of a new variant of *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Euro Surveill* 2007;**12**:E3-E4.
- (47) Gaydos CA, Ferrero DV, Papp J. Laboratory aspects of screening men for *Chlamydia trachomatis* in the new millennium. *Sex Transm Dis* 2008;**35**:S45-S50.
- (48) Marrazzo JM, Scholes D. Acceptability of urine-based screening for *Chlamydia trachomatis* in asymptomatic young men: a systematic review. *Sex Transm Dis* 2008;**35**:S28-S33.
- (49) Fang J, Husman C, DeSilva L, Chang R, Peralta L. Evaluation of self-collected vaginal swab, first void urine, and endocervical swab specimens for the detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in adolescent females. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol* 2008;**21**:355-60.
- (50) Chernesky MA, Hook EW, III, Martin DH, Lane J, Johnson R, Jordan JA, et al. Women find it easy and prefer to collect their own vaginal swabs to diagnose *Chlamydia trachomatis* or *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infections. *Sex Transm Dis* 2005;**32**:729-33.
- (51) Shafer MA, Moncada J, Boyer CB, Betsinger K, Flinn SD, Schachter J. Comparing first-void urine specimens, self-collected vaginal swabs, and endocervical specimens to detect *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* by a nucleic acid amplification test. *J Clin Microbiol* 2003;**41**:4395-9.

- (52) Schachter J, McCormack WM, Chernesky MA, Martin DH, van der Pol B, Rice PA, et al. Vaginal swabs are appropriate specimens for diagnosis of genital tract infection with *Chlamydia trachomatis*. J Clin Microbiol 2003;**41**:3784-9.
- (53) Wiesenfeld HC, Lowry DL, Heine RP, Krohn MA, Bittner H, Kellinger K, et al. Self-collection of vaginal swabs for the detection of Chlamydia, gonorrhea, and trichomoniasis: opportunity to encourage sexually transmitted disease testing among adolescents. Sex Transm Dis 2001;**28**:321-5.
- (54) Wiesenfeld HC, Heine RP, Rideout A, Macio I, DiBiasi F, Sweet RL. The vaginal introitus: a novel site for *Chlamydia trachomatis* testing in women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1996;**174**:1542-6.
- (55) Smith K, Harrington K, Wingood G, Oh MK, Hook EW, III, DiClemente RJ. Self-obtained vaginal swabs for diagnosis of treatable sexually transmitted diseases in adolescent girls. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2001;**155**:676-9.
- (56) Schachter J, Chernesky MA, Willis DE, Fine PM, Martin DH, Fuller D, et al. Vaginal swabs are the specimens of choice when screening for *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*: results from a multicenter evaluation of the APTIMA assays for both infections. Sex Transm Dis 2005;**32**:725-8.
- (57) Hobbs MM, van der Pol B, Totten P, Gaydos CA, Wald A, Warren T, et al. From the NIH: proceedings of a workshop on the importance of self-obtained vaginal specimens for detection of sexually transmitted infections. Sex Transm Dis 2008;**35**:8-13.
- (58) Michel CE, Sonnex C, Carne CA, White JA, Magbanua JP, Nadala EC, Jr., et al. *Chlamydia trachomatis* load at matched anatomic sites: implications for screening strategies. J Clin Microbiol 2007;**45**:1395-402.
- (59) Fitzhugh VA, Heller DS. Significance of a diagnosis of microorganisms on pap smear. J Low Genit Tract Dis 2008;**12**:40-51.
- (60) Jebakumar SP, Storey C, Lusher M, Nelson J, Goorney B, Haye KR. Value of screening for oro-pharyngeal *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection. J Clin Pathol 1995;**48**:658-61.
- (61) Elnifro EM, Storey CC, Morris DJ, Tullo AB. Polymerase chain reaction for detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in conjunctival swabs. Br J Ophthalmol 1997;**81**:497-500.
- (62) Hammerschlag MR, Roblin PM, Gelling M, Tsumura N, Jule JE, Kutlin A. Use of polymerase chain reaction for the detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in ocular and nasopharyngeal specimens from infants with conjunctivitis. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1997;**16**:293-7.
- (63) Schachter J, Moncada J, Liska S, Shayevich C, Klausner JD. Nucleic acid amplification tests in the diagnosis of chlamydial and gonococcal infections of the oropharynx and rectum in men who have sex with men. Sex Transm Dis 2008;**35**:637-42.

- (64) Ota KV, Tamari IE, Smieja M, Jamieson F, Jones KE, Towns L, et al. Detection of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* in pharyngeal and rectal specimens using the BD Probetec ET system, the Gen-Probe Aptima Combo 2 assay and culture. *Sex Transm Infect* 2009;**85**:182-6.
- (65) Alexander S, Martin I, Ison C. Confirming the *Chlamydia trachomatis* status of referred rectal specimens. *Sex Transm Infect* 2007;**83**:327-9.
- (66) Annan NT, Sullivan AK, Nori A, Naydenova P, Alexander S, McKenna A, et al. Rectal chlamydia--a reservoir of undiagnosed infection in men who have sex with men. *Sex Transm Infect* 2009;**85**:176-9.
- (67) Gdoura R, Kchaou W, Ammar-Keskes L, Chakroun N, Sellemi A, Znazen A, et al. Assessment of *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Ureaplasma urealyticum*, *Ureaplasma parvum*, *Mycoplasma hominis*, and *Mycoplasma genitalium* in semen and first void urine specimens of asymptomatic male partners of infertile couples. *J Androl* 2008;**29**:198-206.
- (68) Hamdad-Daoudi F, Petit J, Eb F. Assessment of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in asymptomatic male partners of infertile couples. *J Med Microbiol* 2004;**53**:985-90.
- (69) Pannekoek Y, Westenberg SM, Eijk PP, Repping S, van der Veen F, van der Ende A, et al. Assessment of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection of semen specimens by ligase chain reaction. *J Med Microbiol* 2003;**52**:777-9.
- (70) Horner PJ, Crowley T, Leece J, Hughes A, Smith GD, Caul EO. *Chlamydia trachomatis* detection and the menstrual cycle. *Lancet* 1998;**351**:341-2.
- (71) Jensen IP, Thorsen P, Moller BR. Sensitivity of ligase chain reaction assay of urine from pregnant women for *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Lancet* 1997;**349**:329-30.
- (72) Johnson RE, Newhall WJ, Papp JR, Knapp JS, Black CM, Gift TL, et al. Screening tests to detect *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infections--2002. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2002;**51**:1-38.
- (73) Chernesky MA, Jang D, Chong S, Sellors J, Mahony J. Impact of urine collection order on the ability of assays to identify *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections in men. *Sex Transm Dis* 2003;**30**:345-7.
- (74) Manavi K, Young H. The significance of voiding interval before testing urine samples for *Chlamydia trachomatis* in men. *Sex Transm Infect* 2006;**82**:34-6.
- (75) Thomas BJ, Gilchrist C, Hay PE, Taylor-Robinson D. Simplification of procedures used to test urine samples for *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *J Clin Pathol* 1991;**44**:374-5.
- (76) Møller JK, Andersen B, Olesen F, Lignell T, Østergaard L. Impact of menstrual cycle on the diagnostic performance of LCR, TMA, and PCE for detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in home obtained and mailed vaginal flush and urine samples. *Sex Transm Infect* 1999;**75**:228-30.
- (77) Chernesky MA, Jang D, Luinstra K, Chong S, Smieja M, Cai W, et al. High analytical sensitivity and low rates of inhibition may contribute to detection of

Chlamydia trachomatis in significantly more women by the APTIMA Combo 2 assay. J Clin Microbiol 2006;**44**:400-5.

- (78) Gaydos CA, Quinn TC, Willis D, Weissfeld A, Hook EW, Martin DH, et al. Performance of the APTIMA Combo 2 assay for detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in female urine and endocervical swab specimens. J Clin Microbiol 2003;**41**:304-9.
- (79) Hamilton MS, Otto M, Nickell A, Abel D, Ballam Y, Schremmer R. High frequency of competitive inhibition in the Roche Cobas AMPLICOR multiplex PCR for *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. J Clin Microbiol 2002;**40**:4393.
- (80) Scragg S, Bingham A, Mallinson H. Should *Chlamydia trachomatis* confirmation make you cross? Performance of collection kits tested across three nucleic acid amplification test platforms. Sex Transm Infect 2006;**82**:295-7.
- (81) Schachter J, Chow JM, Howard H, Bolan G, Moncada J. Detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* by nucleic acid amplification testing: our evaluation suggests that CDC-recommended approaches for confirmatory testing are ill-advised. J Clin Microbiol 2006;**44**:2512-7.
- (82) Black CM. Current methods of laboratory diagnosis of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections. Clin Microbiol Rev 1997;**10**:160-84.
- (83) Clad A, Freidank HM, Kunze M, Schnoeckel U, Hofmeier S, Flecken U, et al. Detection of seroconversion and persistence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* antibodies in five different serological tests. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2000;**19**:932-7.
- (84) Mouton JW, Peeters MF, van Rijsoort-Vos JH, Verkooyen RP. Tubal factor pathology caused by *Chlamydia trachomatis*: the role of serology. Int J STD AIDS 2002;**13 Suppl 2**:26-9.
- (85) Verkooyen RP, Peeters MF, van Rijsoort-Vos JH, van der Meijden WI, Mouton JW. Sensitivity and specificity of three new commercially available *Chlamydia trachomatis* tests. Int J STD AIDS 2002;**13 Suppl 2**:23-5.
- (86) Land JA, Evers JL. *Chlamydia* infection and subfertility. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2002;**16**:901-12.
- (87) Halioua B, Bohbot JM, Monfort L, Nassar N, de Barbeyrac B, Monsonogo J, et al. Ano-rectal lymphogranuloma venereum: 22 cases reported in a sexually transmitted infections center in Paris. Eur J Dermatol 2006;**16**:177-80.
- (88) van der Snoek EM, Ossewaarde JM, van der Meijden WI, Mulder PG, Thio HB. The use of serological titres of IgA and IgG in (early) discrimination between rectal infection with non-lymphogranuloma venereum and lymphogranuloma venereum serovars of *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Sex Transm Infect 2007;**83**:330-4.
- (89) Fryer JF, Minor PD. Standardisation of nucleic acid amplification assays used in clinical diagnostics: a report of the first meeting of the SoGAT Clinical Diagnostics Working Group. J Clin Virol 2009;**44**:103-5.

- (90) Dimech W, Bowden DS, Brestovac B, Byron K, James G, Jardine D, et al. Validation of assembled nucleic acid-based tests in diagnostic microbiology laboratories. *Pathology* 2004;**36**:45-50.
- (91) Ratcliff RM, Chang G, Kok T, Sloots TP. Molecular diagnosis of medical viruses. *Curr Issues Mol Biol* 2007;**9**:87-102.
- (92) Gronowski AM, Copper S, Baorto D, Murray PR. Reproducibility problems with the Abbott laboratories LCx assay for *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2000;**38**:2416-8.
- (93) Parks KS, Dixon PB, Richey CM, Hook EW, III. Spontaneous clearance of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in untreated patients. *Sex Transm Dis* 1997;**24**:229-35.
- (94) Joyner JL, Douglas JM, Jr., Foster M, Judson FN. Persistence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection detected by polymerase chain reaction in untreated patients. *Sex Transm Dis* 2002;**29**:196-200.
- (95) Somani J, Bhullar VB, Workowski KA, Farshy CE, Black CM. Multiple drug-resistant *Chlamydia trachomatis* associated with clinical treatment failure. *J Infect Dis* 2000;**181**:1421-7.
- (96) Wang SA, Papp JR, Stamm WE, Peeling RW, Martin DH, Holmes KK. Evaluation of antimicrobial resistance and treatment failures for *Chlamydia trachomatis*: a meeting report. *J Infect Dis* 2005;**191**:917-23.
- (97) Lau CY, Qureshi AK. Azithromycin versus doxycycline for genital chlamydial infections: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Sex Transm Dis* 2002;**29**:497-502.
- (98) Adimora AA. Treatment of uncomplicated genital *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections in adults. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002;**35**:S183-S186.
- (99) Schillinger JA, Kissinger P, Calvet H, Whittington WL, Ransom RL, Sternberg MR, et al. Patient-delivered partner treatment with azithromycin to prevent repeated *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among women: a randomized, controlled trial. *Sex Transm Dis* 2003;**30**:49-56.
- (100) Golden MR, Whittington WL, Handsfield HH, Hughes JP, Stamm WE, Hogben M, et al. Effect of expedited treatment of sex partners on recurrent or persistent gonorrhea or chlamydial infection. *N Engl J Med* 2005;**352**:676-85.
- (101) Colombo U, Pifarotti G, Amidani M, Viezzoli T, Pifarotti P. [Rokitamycin in the treatment of female genital *Chlamydia* and *Mycoplasma* infections. Comparative study vs josamycin]. *Minerva Ginecol* 1998;**50**:491-7.
- (102) Baud D, Regan L, Greub G. Emerging role of *Chlamydia* and *Chlamydia*-like organisms in adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2008;**21**:70-6.

- (103) Blas MM, Canchihuaman FA, Alva IE, Hawes SE. Pregnancy outcomes in women infected with *Chlamydia trachomatis*: a population-based cohort study in Washington State. *Sex Transm Infect* 2007;**83**:314-8.
- (104) Pitsouni E, Iavazzo C, Athanasiou S, Falagas ME. Single-dose azithromycin versus erythromycin or amoxicillin for *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection during pregnancy: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2007;**30**:213-21.
- (105) Rahangdale L, Guerry S, Bauer HM, Packel L, Rhew M, Baxter R, et al. An observational cohort study of *Chlamydia trachomatis* treatment in pregnancy. *Sex Transm Dis* 2006;**33**:106-10.
- (106) Rastogi S, Das B, Salhan S, Mittal A. Effect of treatment for *Chlamydia trachomatis* during pregnancy. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2003;**80**:129-37.
- (107) Czeizel AE, Rockenbauer M, Olsen J, Sorensen HT. A case-control teratological study of spiramycin, roxithromycin, oleandomycin and josamycin. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2000;**79**:234-7.
- (108) Soltz-Szots J, Schneider S, Niebauer B, Knobler RM, Lindmaier A. [Significance of the dose of josamycin in the treatment of chlamydia infected pregnant patients]. *Z Hautkr* 1989;**64**:129-31.
- (109) Steedman NM, McMillan A. Treatment of asymptomatic rectal *Chlamydia trachomatis*: is single-dose azithromycin effective? *Int J STD AIDS* 2009;**20**:16-8.
- (110) McLean CA, Stoner BP, Workowski KA. Treatment of lymphogranuloma venereum. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;**44 Suppl 3**:S147-S152.
- (111) de Vries HJC, Smelov V, Middelburg JG, Pleijster J, Speksnijder AG, Morr  SA. Delayed microbial cure of lymphogranuloma venereum proctitis with doxycycline treatment. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;**48**:e53-e56.
- (112) Batteiger BE, Tu W, Ofner S, van der Pol B, Stothard DR, Orr DP, et al. Repeated *Chlamydia trachomatis* genital infections in adolescent women. *J Infect Dis* 2010;**201**:42-51.
- (113) Dreses-Werringloer U, Padubrin I, Zeidler H, Kohler L. Effects of azithromycin and rifampin on *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in vitro. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001;**45**:3001-8.
- (114) Bin XX, Wolf K, Schaffner T, Malinverni R. Effect of azithromycin plus rifampin versus amoxicillin alone on eradication and inflammation in the chronic course of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* pneumonitis in mice. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2000;**44**:1761-4.
- (115) Wolf K, Malinverni R. Effect of azithromycin plus rifampin versus that of azithromycin alone on the eradication of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* from lung tissue in experimental pneumonitis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999;**43**:1491-3.

- (116) Siewert K, Rupp J, Klinger M, Solbach W, Gieffers J. Growth cycle-dependent pharmacodynamics of antichlamydial drugs. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005;**49**:1852-6.
- (117) Workowski KA, Berman SM. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2006. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2006;**55**:1-94.
- (118) Harindra V, Tobin JM, Underhill G. Opportunistic chlamydia screening; should positive patients be screened for co-infections? *Int J STD AIDS* 2002;**13**:821-5.
- (119) Haggerty CL. Evidence for a role of *Mycoplasma genitalium* in pelvic inflammatory disease. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2008;**21**:65-9.
- (120) Ross JD. Is *Mycoplasma genitalium* a cause of pelvic inflammatory disease? *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2005;**19**:407-13.
- (121) Jensen JS, Bradshaw CS, Tabrizi SN, Fairley CK, Hamasuna R. Azithromycin treatment failure in *Mycoplasma genitalium*-positive patients with nongonococcal urethritis is associated with induced macrolide resistance. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008;**47**:1546-53.
- (122) Bradshaw CS, Chen MY, Fairley CK. Persistence of *Mycoplasma genitalium* following azithromycin therapy. *PLoS One* 2008;**3**:e3618.
- (123) Bjornelius E, Anagrus C, Bojs G, Carlberg H, Johannisson G, Johansson E, et al. Antibiotic treatment of symptomatic *Mycoplasma genitalium* infection in Scandinavia: a controlled clinical trial. *Sex Transm Infect* 2008;**84**:72-6.
- (124) Haggerty CL, Ness RB. Newest approaches to treatment of pelvic inflammatory disease: a review of recent randomized clinical trials. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;**44**:953-60.
- (125) Arthur G, Lowndes CM, Blackham J, Fenton KA. Divergent approaches to partner notification for sexually transmitted infections across the European union. *Sex Transm Dis* 2005;**32**:734-41.
- (126) Geisler WM. Duration of untreated, uncomplicated *Chlamydia trachomatis* genital infection and factors associated with chlamydia resolution: a review of human studies. *J Infect Dis* 2010;**201 Suppl 2**:S104-S113.
- (127) Wilson TE, Hogben M, Malka ES, Liddon N, McCormack WM, Rubin SR, et al. A randomized controlled trial for reducing risks for sexually transmitted infections through enhanced patient-based partner notification. *Am J Public Health* 2009;**99 Suppl 1**:S104-S110.
- (128) Hogben M, Kissinger P. A review of partner notification for sex partners of men infected with *Chlamydia*. *Sex Transm Dis* 2008;**35**:S34-S39.
- (129) Geisler WM. Management of uncomplicated *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections in adolescents and adults: evidence reviewed for the 2006 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;**44 Suppl 3**:S77-S83.

- (130) Hodge JG, Jr., Pulver A, Hogben M, Bhattacharya D, Brown EF. Expedited partner therapy for sexually transmitted diseases: assessing the legal environment. *Am J Public Health* 2008;**98**:238-43.
- (131) Shivasankar S, Challenor R. Patient-delivered partner therapy in the UK: what do the professionals think? *Int J STD AIDS* 2008;**19**:437-40.
- (132) Coyne KM, Cohen CE, Smith NA, Mandalia S, Barton S. Patient-delivered partner medication in the UK: an unlawful but popular choice. *Int J STD AIDS* 2007;**18**:829-31.
- (133) Shivasankar S, Challenor R, Ekanayaka R. Patient-delivered partner therapy in the UK: what do patients think? *Int J STD AIDS* 2008;**19**:433-6.
- (134) Sary A. European guideline for the management of chlamydial infection. *Int J STD AIDS* 2001;**12 Suppl 3**:30-3.
- (135) Deurenberg R, Vlayen J, Guillo S, Oliver TK, Fervers B, Burgers J. Standardization of search methods for guideline development: an international survey of evidence-based guideline development groups. *Health Info Libr J* 2008;**25**:23-30.

Appendix 1

The last version of the IUSTI guideline for chlamydial infection was published in 2001.¹³⁴ Since then, the guidelines editorial board has decided to introduce evidence-based guidelines for all Sexually Transmitted Infections (STI), including chlamydial infections. Here we present the revised version of the guideline, produced according to the protocol approved by the IUSTI STI Guidelines Editorial Board and an evidence-based approach. This guideline is intended to be used by any clinician having to deal with one or more aspects of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections.

Search Strategy

The guideline for management of *C. trachomatis* infections was written after a literature search in the Medline, Embase, and Cochrane databases for English-language articles published between January 1999 and December 2008. For this purpose a well established algorithm developed by the Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement (CBO) was used.¹³⁵ This algorithm guarantees inclusion of most if not all major publications on this topic. The resulting database of publications was extended with searches on specific topics and existing guidelines.^{12,27,72,117,134} The level of evidence was assigned according to table 2 and the grading of recommendations according to table 3.

Appendix 2

Table 2. Levels of evidence

Level	Description
Ia	Evidence obtained from meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials
Ib	Evidence obtained from at least one randomised controlled trial
IIa	Evidence obtained from at least one well designed study without randomisation
IIb	Evidence obtained from at least one other type of well designed quasi-experimental study
III	Evidence obtained from well designed non-experimental descriptive studies, correlation studies, and case control studies
IV	Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities

Table 3. Grading of recommendations

Grading	Evidence level	Description
A	Evidence levels Ia, Ib	Requires at least one randomised control trial as part of the body of literature of overall good quality and consistency addressing the specific recommendation
B	Evidence levels IIa, IIb, III	Requires availability of well conducted clinical studies but no randomised clinical trials on the topic of recommendation
C	Evidence level IV	Requires evidence from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities. Indicates absence of directly applicable studies of good quality

Declarations of interest

None declared.